

Most Holy Trinity Seminary Newsletter



OCTOBER 2019

Published by Most Holy Trinity Seminary, 1000 Spring Lake Highway, Brooksville, Florida 34602. This newsletter is sent free of charge to all Seminary benefactors who contribute \$75.00 or more annually. If you would like to be on our mailing list, please contact us by mail, or at piuspapax@gmail.com. Please visit our website at mostholytrinityseminary.org

My dear Catholic people,

Bergoglio has gone one step further in the project of totally destroying the Catholic religion. Owing to his ecology fanaticism, he invited indigenous Amazonian people to the Vatican gardens, where they performed an act of idolatry. Bergoglio actively assisted at the proceedings, giving them his warm approval.

The goddess who was adored was none other than Pachamama, who is a fertility goddess among the Incas and neighboring peoples. There was a statue of her wearing only panties. There was also a statue of a male fertility figure, wearing no underpants, and in an unmentionable pose. The indigenous people danced around the statue of the goddess, offered her gifts, and adored her by kneeling and bowing low on the ground. *Pachamama* means “world-mother” and is more commonly referred to as “Mother Earth.”

All of this comes as another episode of Bergoglio’s Earth Religion, in which he is attempting to change the Roman Catholic Church into an agency to save the planet from all sorts of wicked

exploitation and the whales, of course, from the plastic straws.

Idolatry was always considered an *abomination* in the Old Testament. St. Paul was also very negative and judgmental about it. This negativity and rigidity are no obstacle for the Modernist, however. The Modernist believes that God is present in everyone in a supernatural way, and that He reveals Himself to all in their daily experiences of life. Therefore everyone worships this true God revealing Himself, although they may believe different things about Him. These differences of belief come about because of cultural differences. So Catholics venerate the Virgin Mary. Indigenous Amazonians worship Pachamama. There are merely cultural variations here. It is all the same.

Dogma is an absolutely meaningless thing for the Modernist. According to the Modernists, religious beliefs evolve over time from the varied cultural, geographical, and historical environments of diverse peoples.

Modernism, therefore, makes ecumenism possible, and conversely, ecumenism *demand*s Mod-



SAINT PIUS X IN THE VATICAN GARDENS

Little did he know that one of his “successors” would use these sacred grounds for idol and demon worship, for the refusal of which so many Roman martyrs went to torture and death.

ernism as its basis. Ecumenism would make no sense without Modernism.

Bergoglio denies the divinity of Christ. Francis the Heretic and Francis the Idolater has now become Francis the Apostate. The Italian newspaper *La Repubblica* reports that Eugenio Scalfari, with whom Francis has had published interviews in the past, said: “**Those who, as it has happened many times with me, have had the luck of meeting him and speaking to him with the greatest cultural intimacy, know that pope Francis conceives Christ as Jesus of Nazareth, man, not God incarnate. Once incarnate, Jesus stops being a God and becomes a man until his death on the cross.**” He said further: “**When I had the chance of discussing these sentences, pope Francis told me: ‘They are the proven proof [sic] that Jesus of Nazareth, once having become a man, was, though a man of exceptional virtues, not at all a God.’**”

It should be recalled here that the denial of the divinity of Christ is not merely *heresy* but *apostasy*, that is, the complete abandonment of the Christian religion. Heresy is to doubt or deny one or some dogmas of the Catholic Church. Apostasy is to totally give up Christianity in any form or aspect.

Are we surprised to hear this? I am not. I do not think that Bergoglio even believes in the existence of God. I think that he is an atheistic communist.

It will be interesting to see how the Novus Ordo conservatives melt down over this one.

The Global Warming Religion. Human beings, when they abandon religion, will always find a substitute for it. They know by nature that there is something beyond them, and that they also need a set of moral absolutes by which to judge the good versus the bad. For *good* and *bad* have no meaning whatsoever except in relation to some end to be achieved. For example, a decaying fecal matter and other oceanic debris is disgusting to human beings, but to lobsters and shrimps it is like Thanksgiving Dinner. For Democrats, the election of a Republican is bad, whereas it is good for Republicans. The converse is also true.

Human beings, therefore, must have a set of moral principles by which they judge good and bad in everything. Consequently there is always a need

to worship something, and to devise a set of morals according to some ultimate good.

So the modern atheists and agnostics, who have set aside Christianity, now have devised a new pagan religion. It is the Mother Earth Religion. Mother Earth, according to their Bible, was born in the Big Bang (which just “poofed” into existence and blew up without any cause) and was essentially a big ball of hot slop in its early childhood. Then somehow amino acids poofed into existence in pools of poofed water (wherever that came from) and these amino acid soups were struck by poofed cosmic rays and poofed lightning (wherever these things came from), and poof! Life emerged. This life, very primitive and simple, became more and more advanced due to mutations resulting from the poofed cosmic rays until these poofed simple life forms (with the help of Mother Chance) produced higher life forms. Then add one gazzillion years. Then add three gazzillion lottery drawings, in which you get a winning ticket every time! I mean that by assigning the order and development of life forms to chance, that is, mutations happening in a random fashion, you are saying something as absurd as what I described: a nearly infinite number of winning lottery drawings, in such a way that mere chance produces the order of the earth.

Finally gorillas and chimpanzees poofed into being, no doubt from a winning ticket. Then the gorillas and chimps got smarter and smarter over another gazzillion years, and one day: Poof! The gorillas and chimps became human beings, and started to speak about art, architecture, music, law, politics, engineering and mathematics. Add another gazzillion years. They developed straight backs and larger craniums, owing, no doubt, to more poofing and winning tickets. Then the intelligent gorillas walked upright and started to build pyramids and other architectural and engineering wonders of the world.

While all of this sounds ridiculous — and it is — nonetheless this is what we are meant to believe, according to atheists and agnostics, about the origin of the universe, the earth, plant life, animal life, and human life and civilization, not to mention the constancy of the properties of minerals, the constancy of the forces of nature, such as gravity and centrifugal force, upon which the order of the earth and of the entire universe depend.

The ultimate effect of this evolutionary process is planet earth, which has become for many an object of worship. It is a form of idolatry, which is exactly what took place in the Vatican gardens.

The Mother Earth cult can take many forms, and one of these is the Global Warming Religion. Because we must be good to the Earth Goddess, we must make sure we do not harm her in any way.

Make no mistake, however. The people who push global warming, as if it were a dogma, and who also push the remedies for it, as if it were a moral command, are not religious in any sense of the term. They merely see the value of religious fanaticism in order to motivate people.

The global warming mania is merely a tool in order to bring about the New World Order, in which there will be a single world republic with a single world government. This has been the goal of the Leftists since the eighteenth century. Already in the early seventeenth century, there was a call for a single world republic, a single world religion, and a single world language.

At the end of the eighteenth century, the Illuminati, under the leadership of Adam Weishaupt, called for a single world government. The first real step towards establishing this New World Order came in the form of the League of Nations after World War I, a creation of godless socialists. These same Leftists pushed heartily for the European Union in the 1920's. After World War II, the United Nations emerged as the future world government.

Recently Bergoglio said that "we must obey the United Nations." Up to now the U.N. has been merely a place in which to discuss world problems and to diffuse conflicts. Of course, it has proven to be a totally useless tool in that regard. Now, however, we must "obey" it. Remember that Paul VI went to the U.N. in 1965 and called it the "last hope of mankind."

The global warming hysteria fits perfectly into the plan: it is a universal problem for which we are all guilty. Therefore we must all do penance. Therefore there must be some international authority to determine how much of the earth's resources we can use.

I say *hysteria*, because if the global warming advocates were to present their case with calm and balance, they would have more credibility. For it may be true that the burning of 94 million barrels of petroleum every day could have a negative effect on the atmosphere, especially over time. However, for as long as human beings want to move around at high speed, we will have to burn something ugly.

For example, as Greta the Grump was performing her phrenetic screaming act at the U.N., a group of 500 European scientists wrote to the U.N., saying that there was no climate emergency. They stated: "Climate science should be less political, while climate policies should be more scientific. Scientists should openly address the uncertainties and exaggerations in their predictions of global warming, while politicians

should dispassionately count the real benefits as well as the imagined costs of adaptation to global warming, and the real costs as well as the imagined benefits of mitigation."

The maniacal presentation made by the global warming religionists, however, makes it impossible for a reasonable person to take it seriously. Who pays attention to a raving maniac? For example: That we are all going to perish by 2030?

What is interesting is that these same dire predictions were made in the 1960's and 1970's, but with a different twist. In 1967 a Stanford University biologist by the name of Paul Ehrlich predicted that by 1975 there would be a dire famine. Naturally there was a leftist agenda message connected with it: birth control to be accomplished by putting sterilizing chemicals in the water. He said that it would be



PAUL EHRLICH

In 1967, he predicted that there would be a dire famine in 1975

impossible to feed the world's population by the year 2000. In 1967, it was "already too late" to reverse the famine threat. Then in 1969, the *New York Times* reported that the same Paul Ehrlich predicted that "everyone would disappear in the cloud of blue steam in twenty years" because of environmental problems. In 1970, *The Boston Globe* reported that James P. Lodge, a scientist at a national center for Atmospheric Research in Boulder, Colorado, predicted that, by the twenty-first century, air pollution may obliterate the sun and cause a new ice age in the first third of the century. Of course, population control was mentioned as one of the ways in which to avert the coming ice age. In 1970, the same Dr. Paul Ehrlich predicted that the oceans would be as "dead as Lake Erie in less than a decade," and that America would be subject to water rationing by 1974 and food rationing by 1980. In 1971, *The Washington Post* reported that Dr. S. I. Rasool of NASA and of Columbia University predicted that in fifty years (2021) the fine dust that man puts into the atmosphere could drop the temperature of the earth by six degrees, and if you add another five to ten years (2026-2031), that a new ice age might occur. Then in 1972, two scientists of Brown University wrote to the President of the United States informing him of an ice age that will come upon us by the year 2070. In 1974, European climatologists Drs. George and Helena Kukla, associated with Columbia University, said that there was a 12% increase in snow and ice covering the earth between 1967 and 1972. They said that there was a peak of warmth between 1935 and 1955, and that world average temperatures were falling. *Time* magazine reported in June of 1974, that the global temperature had dropped since 1940 by 2.7 degrees Fahrenheit, and that "telltale signs were everywhere" that a new ice age was coming. In 1978, *The New York Times* reported that there was "no end in sight for the thirty-year cooling trend in the Northern Hemisphere." But NASA reports that since 1979, there has been a slight warming trend up to July of 2019 by .38 degrees Celsius. In 1988, *The Canberra Times* reported that by 2018 the Maldiv Islands could be completely covered because of rising seas. (Most of the islands are no more than 39 inches above sea level). In 1989, *The Associated Press* reported that "rising seas could obliterate nations by 2000." They cited U.N. officials. In 2001, Jim Hansen, who predicted the


greenhouse effect to Congress in 1988, made the prediction that New York's West Side Highway would be under water by 2019. The Pentagon told President Bush in 2004 that Great Britain will be "Siberian" by 2020. In 2008, Al Gore, the High Priest of Global Warming, predicted that by 2013 the Arctic would be ice-free. (Note: The ice is still there). Then in 2009, Prince Charles said that there were just 96 months (eight years) left to save the world, and British Prime Minister Gordon Brown said that we had only fifty days to save our planet from catastrophe. That was in 2014. (If anyone wishes to verify this information, let him go to this site: <https://cei.org/blog/wrong-again-50-years-failed-eco-pocalyptic-predictions>, where one can see the actual newspaper clippings containing this information).

So when we hear of the dire prophecies from such priestesses of the Global Warming Religion such as the angry little girl Greta Thunberg (how many Ph.D's does *she* have?) or from an intellectual known as Alexandria Occasio-Cortez, we have nothing more to do than laugh it off or yawn.

Then there is the glaring hypocrisy of these Mercedes-Benz Socialists who want us to give up hamburgers, airplanes, and cars. Many of the most vocal among them live in enormous houses, flit around in private jets, each engine emitting 53 pounds of carbon dioxide per mile, drive gas-guzzling vehicles, own many houses, and generally live it up as if there were no tomorrow. If they were sincere about this "emergency," they would give up their cars, their airplanes, and their large homes, and go back to the horse and buggy. A horse and buggy would take about 90 days to travel from San Francisco to New York, where the passengers could then take a sailing ship to Europe, which would take at least three weeks.

But then think of all that horse flatulence.

Sincerely yours in Christ,



Most Rev. Donald J. Sanborn
Rector